

Michael O. Leavitt Kathleen Clarke Executive Director Lowell P. Braxton Division Director 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-7223 (TDD)

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax)

February 13, 2001

| TO: | Indicated File | A | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| THRU: | Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor | H | | | | | |
| FROM: | Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist II | | | | | | |
| RE: | 2000 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, Nevada Wellington Prep Plant. 12-WQ00-4 | Electric Investm | ent Co., | | | | |
| 1. Was da | ta submitted for all of the MRP required sites? | YES [x] | NO [] | | | | |
| Ren bas | at date does the MRP require a five-year resampline wal of the permit is December 10, 2004. The MRP weline water parameters one year prior to the renewal sampling due date <u>12/10/04</u> | commits to sam | | | | | |
| 3. Were a | ll required parameters reported for each site? | YES[] | NO [x] | | | | |
| For field data e data. | Well GW-17 lab pH, dissolved Se, and lab Conductive wists on GW-3, GW-6, GW-16. The field sheets have | vity were missing been requested | ng. Questionable 1 to confirm the | | | | |
| 4. Were ii | rregularities found in the data? | YES[] | NO [x] | | | | |
| | interesting recent trend exists in the TDS values at Wne TDS has increased by 9.5 percent. See attached gr | | ver the last six | | | | |

| P | age 2 |
|---------------|-------|
| C/0070/012-WO | 200-4 |
| February 13, | 2001 |

| 5. | Were | DMR | forms | submitted | for | all | required | sites? |
|----|------|------------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|----------|--------|
|----|------|------------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|----------|--------|

| 1 st month, | YES[x] | NO [|] |
|------------------------|--------|------|---|
| 2 nd month, | YES[x] | NO [|] |
| 3rd month | YES[x] | NO [| 1 |

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

| YES | Г | l NO | Γ | - |
|-----|---|------|---|---|
| IEO | | NO | L | |

All sites were dry so no parameters were submitted

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

All sites were dry so no parameters were submitted

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

The missing parameters at GW-17 have been requested for re-submission. The questionable field data will also be resubmitted. The TDS trend will continue to be monitored. No further actions are needed for the 4^{th} quarter 2000.

